

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Vaccine 24 (2006) 6734-6735

www.elsevier.com/locate/vaccine

## Short communication

# High prevalence of influenza A virus in ducks caught during spring migration through Sweden

Anders Wallensten a,b,\*, Vincent J. Munster c, Malin Karlsson d, Åke Lundkvist d, Mia Brytting e, Martin Stervander f, Albert D.M.E. Osterhaus c, Ron A.M. Fouchier b, Björn Olsen g,h

a Smedby Health Center, Kalmar County Council, SE-39471 Kalmar, Sweden
 b Division of Medical Microbiology, Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine (IMK),
Faculty of Health Sciences, Linköping University, SE-581 85 Linköping, Sweden
 c Department of Virology and National Influenza Center, Erasmus Medical Center, Dr. Molewaterplein 50,
NL-3015 GE Rotterdam, The Netherlands
 d Centre for Microbiological Preparedness (KCB), Swedish Institute for Infectious Disease Control (SMI), SE-171 82 Solna, Sweden
 e Department of Virology, Swedish Institute for Infectious Disease Control (SMI), SE-171 82 Solna, Sweden
 f Ottenby Bird Observatory, P.O. Box 1500, SE-380 65 Degerhamn, Sweden
 g Department of Infectious Diseases, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden
 h Department of Biology and Environmental Science, Section for Zoonotic Ecology and Epidemiology,
Kalmar University, SE-391 82 Kalmar, Sweden

Available online 6 June 2006

#### Abstract

As part of our ongoing screening of wild birds in Northern Europe, 358 mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*) and 203 shelducks (*Tadorna tadorna*) were caught in southern Sweden during the spring 2003. Faecal samples were analyzed by real time RT-PCR for the presence of influenza A virus. In contrast to what has been found in North American studies; Eurasian spring migrating ducks passing through Sweden had a relatively high prevalence of influenza A virus.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Avian influenza A virus; Wild birds; Prevalence

## 1. Introduction

Most studies on the prevalence of avian influenza A virus (IAV) have been performed in North America where a prevalence of up to 30% has been found in fall migrating ducks. However, at the wintering sites and in spring migrating ducks the observed prevalence has been less than 1% [1]. Considering the low prevalence in spring migrating ducks; different modes of virus perpetuation year to year has been suggested. IAV might for example be perpetuated frozen in lakes and thereby infecting birds upon return to their breeding grounds [2]. Alternatively, ducks could be infected by the spread of the virus from a different species like waders when sharing

the same habitat since studies on waders have shown a high prevalence of IAV in waders during spring [3].

Birds were caught from early April until the spring migration terminated in June in a large funnel trap at Ottenby Bird Observatory, Öland, Sweden. Faecal samples were collected and analyzed by real time RT-PCR directed at the conserved matrix gene.

#### 3. Results

Samples from 358 mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*) and 203 shelducks (*Tadorna tadorna*) were tested. The prevalence in

<sup>2.</sup> Materials and methods

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +46 480 1877; fax: +46 480 84722. E-mail address: anderswal@ltkalmar.se (A. Wallensten).

mallards was on average 3.4% from April to June and in May as high as 6.5%. The prevalence in shelducks was low (<1%).

## 4. Conclusions

The results of this study indicate that in the Western Eurasian duck population, the perpetuation of IAV in wild duck, or at least, mallard populations, could be explained by a high prevalence in spring migrating birds that may pass on the infection to juvenile and other susceptible birds at the breeding sites.

### References

- [1] Webster RG, et al. Evolution and ecology of influenza A viruses. Microbiol Rev 1992;56(1):152–79.
- [2] Ito T, et al. Perpetuation of influenza A viruses in Alaskan waterfowl reservoirs. Arch Virol 1995;140(7):1163–72.
- [3] Kawaoka Y, et al. Is the gene pool of influenza viruses in shorebirds and gulls different from that in wild ducks? Virology 1988;163(1):247–50.